

have to spend money. Of course even the Government have started participating in exhibitions though no sanction is there. They want to do it in a large scale. We know what achievements are there. In fact in an exhibition which is going on in Tumkur, there was a very big hue and cry that the organisers bring in all sorts of people for entertainment. They arrange performances like dance, etc; people are attracted for dance rather than to see the exhibitions. I have written several letters. I remember to have written to the Speaker also desiring to raise a discussion on this matter. Somehow or other it has not come up and you were pleased to say that I may discuss it with you. I am given to understand that lakhs of rupees are spent for participating in these exhibitions. Now these Village Panchayats will be made to pay to the organisers of the exhibitions. Such a thing should not be allowed. If they want to have exhibitions, let the Government arrange.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಲ್. ಗೌಡ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸ್ಪೀಕರಾದಂಥ ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ್ ಅವರು ಭೂಕಂದಾಯ ರದ್ದಿಯಾತಿ ವಿಷಯ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದನ್ನು ವಜಾಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇತ್ತು. ಅನೇಕ ಸಂಘ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳೂ ಕೂಡ ಭೂಕಂದಾಯ ಇರಬೇಕೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಮಾಡಿವಾರ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನಾನು ತಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಜಾತ್ರೆಗೆ ಬರುವವರಿಗೆ ಸೆಸ್ ಹಾಕುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅದು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿ ಆಕ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಸುವುದರಿಂದ ಏನೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಈ ಮತದವರನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall put the question to the House.

The question is:

“That the Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1970, be passed.”

The Motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Next item. I may inform the House that we have spent nearly five hours on this Bill. I think we should be able to finish one more Bill today so that the remaining two may be disposed of tomorrow.

Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) (Amendment) Bill, 1970

(i) Statutory Resolution to approve Ordinance No. 7 of 1969

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—I beg to move:

“That this Assembly disapproves the Ordinance No. 7 of 1969 namely ‘the Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) (Second Amendment) Ordinance, 1969’, promulgated on 17th day of October 1969 by the Governor of Mysore.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Resolution moved.

“That this Assembly disapproves the Ordinance No. 7 of 1969 namely ‘the Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) (Second Amendment), Ordinance 1969,’ promulgated on 17th day of October 1969 by the Governor of Mysore.”

Now the Minister to move the Bill.

† **Sri M. NAGAPPA.**—I am on a point of order. I do not know for what reasons the hon. Deputy Minister is piloting this Bill when we have a full-fledged Cabinet Minister. He is also not found in this House for a pretty long time. We are apprehending whether the Minister is holding the portfolio or not. If the Deputy Minister is so capable, where is the necessity to have a Cabinet Minister. I have some legal objection regarding the moving of this Bill for consideration. Very recently the Hon. Speaker might have come across the ruling of the Lok Sabha wherein the Lok Sabha Speaker Sri Dhillon upheld a similar objection raised in the Lok Sabha and said that the Minister may move the Bill. Of course the Chair may say that a Deputy Minister is a member of the Council of Ministers and he can move the Bill. But for what reasons the Lok Sabha Speaker has stated, we may consider. Therefore, let us discuss the motion moved by me.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It does not involve any point of order. It may at the most be a point of propriety. He said that in the Lok Sabha when the Minister was there the Deputy Minister moved a Bill. I have no personal knowledge about it. It appears according to my information the Lok Sabha Speaker just expressed his disapproval of the Deputy Minister moving a measure when a Cabinet Minister was present. We do not know the circumstance under which it was done. As hon. Members are aware that a Minister includes a Deputy Minister, a Cabinet Minister may be there but there may be good reasons for the Deputy Minister to move at the request of the Cabinet Minister. It is therefore at the most a question of propriety. When the hon. member Sri Siddaveerappa raised the point about the absence of the Minister Sri P. M. Nadagouda, it was pointed out that he is not well. He is advised to take some rest and the Minister has written to me about it. I hold there is no point of order and the Deputy Minister may move the Bill for consideration.

(ii) Motion to Consider the Bill

Sri B. L. GOWDA.—Sir, I move :

“That the Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) (Amendment) Bill, 1970, be taken into consideration.”